

REMARKS

Claims 1-3 and 5 are pending in the application upon entry of this amendment. In the Advisory Action, the Examiner again indicates that claim 4 recites allowable subject matter. Accordingly, claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the features of claim 4, and claim 4 has been canceled herein. Claim 1 also has been amended consistent with the Examiner's previous objection to the phrase "other object" under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, and the Examiner's suggested amendment. (See Final Office Action at paragraph 4.)

Accordingly, claims 1-3 and 5 are believed to be allowable, and the application is believed to be in condition for allowance. A prompt action to such end is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP

/Mark D. Saralino/

Mark D. Saralino
Reg. No. 34,243

DATE: January 15, 2008

The Keith Building
1621 Euclid Avenue
Nineteenth Floor
Cleveland, Ohio 44115
(216) 621-1113